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## Optimization of AO systems

- If you are designing a new AO system:
- How many actuators?
- What kind of deformable mirror?
- What type of wavefront sensor?
- How fast a sampling rate and control bandwidth (peak capacity)?
- If you are using an existing AO system:
- How long should you integrate on the wavefront sensor? How fast should the control loop run?
- Is it better to use a bright guide star far away, or a dimmer star close by?
- What wavelength should you use to observe?

```
Issues for designer of astronomical AO systems
```

- Performance goals:
- Sky coverage fraction, observing wavelength, degree of image compensation needed for science program
- Parameters of the observatory:
- Turbulence characteristics (mean and variability), telescope and instrument optical errors, availability of laser guide stars
- AO parameters chosen in the design phase:
- Number of actuators, wavefront sensor type and sample rate, servo bandwidth, laser characteristics
- AO parameters adjusted by user: integration time on wavefront sensor, wavelength, guide star mag. \& offset
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## Example: Keck Observatory AO "Blue Book"

- Made scientific case for Keck adaptive optics system
- Laid out the technical tradeoffs
- Presented performance estimates for realistic conditions
- First draft of design requirements

The basis for obtaining funding commitment from the user community and observatory

## What is in the Keck AO Blue Book?



- Chapter titles:

1. Introduction
2. Scientific Rationale and Objectives
3. Characteristics of Sky, Atmosphere, and Telescope
4. Limitations and Expected Performance of Adaptive Optics at Keck
5. Facility Design Requirements

- Appendices: Technical details and overall error budget


## Other telescope projects have similar "Books"

- Keck Telescope (10 m):
- Had a "Blue Book" for the telescope concept itself
- Thirty Meter Telescope:
- Series of design documents: Detailed Science Case, Science Based Requirements Document, Observatory Requirements Document, Operations Requirements Document, etc.

These documents are the kick-off point for work on the "Preliminary Design"

First, look at individual terms in error budget one by one


- Error budget terms
- Fitting error
- WFS measurement error
- Anisoplanatism
- Temporal error
- Figures of merit
- Strehl ratio
- FWHM
- Encircled energy
- Strehl ratio

Fitting error: dependence of Strehl on $\lambda$ and DM degrees of freedom

Deformable mirror fitting error only
$S=\exp \left(-\sigma_{\phi}^{2}\right)=\exp \left[-0.28\left(\frac{d}{r_{0}}\right)^{5 / 3}\right]$
$r_{0}(\lambda)=r_{0}(\lambda=0.5 \mu m)\left(\frac{\lambda}{0.5 \mu m}\right)^{6 / 5}$
$S=\exp \left[-0.28\left(\frac{d}{r_{0}(\lambda=0.5 \mu m)}\right)^{5 / 3}\left(\frac{0.5 \mu m}{\lambda}\right)^{2}\right]$

- Assume very bright natural guide star
- No meas't error or anisoplanatism or bandwidth error

Strehl increases for smaller subapertures and shorter observing wavelengths

## Strehl increases for smaller subapertures and longer observing wavelengths



- Assume very bright natural guide star
- No meas't error or anisoplanatism or bandwidth error

Deformable mirror fitting error only

$$
\text { Page } 9
$$

## Strehl increases for longer $\lambda$ and better seeing (larger $r_{0}$ )



Deformable mirror fitting error only

- Assume very bright natural guide star
- No meas't error or anisoplanatism or bandwidth error

Wavefront sensor measurement error: Strehl vs $\lambda$ and guide star magnitude


Assumes no DM fitting error or other error terms

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sigma_{S-H}^{2} \approx\left(\frac{6.3}{S N R}\right)^{2} \\
& S=\exp \left(-\sigma_{S-H}^{2}\right)=\exp \left[-\left(\frac{6.3}{S N R}\right)^{2}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

$S N R$ increases as flux from guide star increases
Strehl increases for brighter guide stars
But: SNR will decrease as you use more and more subapertures, because each one will gather less light

## Strehl increases for brighter guide stars



## Assumes no DM fitting error or other error terms



Strehl vs $\lambda$ and guide star angular separation (anisoplanatism)


$$
\begin{aligned}
& S=\exp \left[-\sigma_{i s o}^{2}\right]=\exp \left[-\left(\frac{\theta}{\theta_{0}}\right)^{5 / 3}\right], \quad \theta_{0}=\frac{r_{0}}{\bar{h}} \propto \lambda^{6 / 5} \\
& S=\exp \left[-\left(\frac{\theta}{\theta_{0}(0.5 \mu m)}\right)^{5 / 3}\left(\frac{0.5 \mu m}{\lambda}\right)^{2}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Strehl increases for smaller angular offsets and longer observing wavelengths

## Strehl increases for smaller angular offsets and longer observing wavelengths



## PSF with bright guide star: more degrees

 of freedom $\Rightarrow$ more energy in core

Point Spread Function very bright star, $\lambda=2.2 \mu \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{D} / \mathrm{r}_{0}=8.5$
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## What matters for spectroscopy is <br> "Encircled Energy"



Fraction of light encircled within diameter of $x x$ arc sec

## Diffraction

 limited

## Overall system optimization



- Concept of error budget
- Independent contributions to wavefront error from many sources
- Minimize overall error with respect to a parameter such as integration time or subaperture size

Error model: mean square wavefront error is sum of squares of component errors

- Mean square error in wavefront phase

$$
\sigma_{p}^{2}=\sigma_{M e a s}^{2}+\sigma_{B W}^{2}+\sigma_{D M}^{2}+\sigma_{i s o}^{2}+\sigma_{T-T}^{2}+\ldots .
$$

Meas't Timelag Fitting Isoplan. Tip-tilt

$$
\sigma_{\text {Meast }}^{2}=\sigma_{S-H}^{2} \approx\left[\frac{3.5 \theta_{b}}{S N R} \frac{d}{\lambda}\right]^{2}
$$

## Signal to Noise Ratio for a fast CCD detector

$$
\text { SNR }=\frac{F l u x \times T_{\mathrm{int}}}{\text { Noise }}=\frac{F l u x \times T_{\mathrm{int}}}{\left[\sigma_{\text {PhotonNoise }}^{2}+\sigma_{\text {SkyBkgnd }}^{2}+\sigma_{\text {DarkCurrent }}^{2}+\sigma_{\text {ReadNoise }}^{2}\right]^{1 / 2}}
$$

- Flux is the average photon flux (detected photons/sec)
- $T_{i n t}$ is the integration time of the measurement,
- Sky background is due to OH lines and thermal emission
- Dark current is detector noise per sec even in absence of light (usually due to thermal effects)
- Read noise is due to the on-chip amplifier that reads out the charge after each exposure

Short readout times needed for wavefront sensor $\Rightarrow$ read noise is usually dominant


- Read-noise dominated: read noise >> all other noise sources
- In this case SNR is

$$
\operatorname{SNR}_{R N}=\frac{F l u x \times T_{\mathrm{int}}}{\left[R^{2} n_{p i x}\right]^{1 / 2}}=\frac{F l u x \times T_{\mathrm{int}}}{R \sqrt{n_{p i x}}}
$$

where $T_{i n t}$ is the integration time, $n_{p i x}$ is the number of pixels in a subaperture, $R$ is the read noise/px/frame

Now, back to calculating measurement error for Shack-Hartmann sensor

$$
\sigma_{S-H}^{2} \cong\left[\frac{\pi}{4 \sqrt{2}} \frac{1}{S N R} \vartheta_{b} \frac{2 \pi d}{\lambda}\right]^{2} \operatorname{rad}^{2}
$$

- Assume the WFS is read-noise limited. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S N R_{S-H}=\frac{F l u x \times T_{\text {int }}}{R \sqrt{n_{p i x}}} \text { and } \\
& \sigma_{S-H}^{2}=\left[\frac{3.5 \theta_{b}}{S N R} \frac{d}{\lambda}\right]^{2}=\left[3.5 \theta_{b} \frac{d}{\lambda}\right]^{2} \frac{R^{2} n_{p i x}}{\left(F l u x \times T_{\text {int }}\right)^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Error model: mean square wavefront error is sum of squares of component errors


$$
\begin{gathered}
\sigma_{p}^{2}=\sigma_{\text {Meast }}^{2}+\sigma_{B W}^{2}+\sigma_{D M}^{2}+\sigma_{\text {iso }}^{2}+\ldots . \\
\sigma_{p}^{2}=\left[3.5 \theta_{b} \frac{d}{\lambda}\right]^{2} \frac{R^{2} n_{p i x}}{\left(F l u x \times T_{\text {int }}\right)^{2}}+\left(\frac{T_{\text {control }}}{\tau_{0}}\right)^{5 / 3}+\mu\left(\frac{d}{r_{0}}\right)^{5 / 3}+\left(\frac{\theta}{\theta_{0}}\right)^{5 / 3}+\ldots
\end{gathered}
$$

## Flux in a subaperture will increase with subap. area $d^{2}$

$T_{\text {control }}$ is the closed-loop control timescale, typically ~ 10 times the integration time $T_{\text {int }}$ (control loop gain isn't unity, so must sample many times in order to converge)

## Integration time trades temporal error against measurement error

$$
\sigma_{p}^{2} \approx\left[3.5 \theta_{b} \frac{d}{\lambda}\right]^{2} \frac{R^{2} n_{\text {pix }}}{\left(F l u x x\left\langle T_{\text {int }}\right)^{2}\right.}+\left(\frac{\left.\left.10 T_{\text {itt }}\right)^{5 / 3}\right)}{\tau_{0}}\right)
$$



From Hardy, Fig. 9.23

## First exercise in optimization: Choose optimum integration time



- Minimize the sum of read-noise and temporal errors by finding optimal integration time

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sigma_{p}^{2}=\left[3.5 \theta_{b} \frac{d}{\lambda}\right]^{2} \frac{R^{2} n_{p i x}}{\left(F l u x \times T_{\mathrm{int}}\right)^{2}}+\left(\frac{10 T_{\mathrm{int}}}{\tau_{0}}\right)^{5 / 3} \\
& \frac{d \sigma_{p}^{2}}{d T_{\mathrm{int}}}=0=-2\left[3.5 \theta_{b} \frac{d}{\lambda}\right]^{2} \frac{R^{2} n_{p i x}}{\left(F l u x^{2} T_{\mathrm{int}}^{3}\right)}+\frac{5}{3}\left(\frac{10}{\tau_{0}}\right)^{5 / 3} T_{\mathrm{int}}^{2 / 3} \\
& T_{\mathrm{int}}^{\text {opt }}=\left[0.32 \tau_{0}^{5 / 3}\left(\frac{\theta_{b} d}{\lambda}\right)^{2} \frac{R^{2} n_{p i x}}{\left(F l u x^{2}\right)}\right]^{3 / 11}
\end{aligned}
$$

- Sanity check: optimum $T_{\text {int }}$ larger for long $\tau_{0}$, larger read noise R, and lower photon Flux


## Similarly, subaperture size d trades fitting error against measurement error



Hardy, Figure 9.25

$$
\sigma_{\phi}^{2}=\left[3.5 \theta_{b} \frac{d}{\lambda}\right]^{2} \frac{R^{2} n_{p i x}}{\left(F l u x \times T_{\mathrm{int}}\right)^{2}}+\mu\left(\frac{d}{r_{0}}\right)^{5 / 3}
$$

Flux $=I \times$ area $=I \times d^{2}$ where intensity $I=$ photons $/\left(\sec \mathrm{cm}^{2}\right)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sigma_{\phi}^{2}=\left[3.5 \theta_{b} \frac{d}{\lambda}\right]^{2} \frac{R^{2} n_{p i x}}{\left(I \times d^{2} \times T_{\mathrm{int}}\right)^{2}}+\mu\left(\frac{d}{r_{0}}\right)^{5 / 3} \\
& \sigma_{\phi}^{2}=\left[3.5 \theta_{b} \frac{1}{\lambda}\right]^{2} \frac{R^{2} n_{p i x}}{\left(I \times T_{\mathrm{int}}\right)^{2} d^{2}}+\mu\left(\frac{d}{r_{0}}\right)^{5 / 3}
\end{aligned}
$$

- Smaller d: better fitting error, worse measurement error


## Solve for optimum subaperture size d


$\sigma_{\phi}^{2}=\left[3.5 \theta_{b} \frac{d}{\lambda}\right]^{2} \frac{R^{2} n_{p i x}}{\left(F l u x \times T_{\text {int }}\right)^{2}}+\mu\left(\frac{d}{r_{0}}\right)^{5 / 3}$
Flux $=I \times$ area $=I \times d^{2}$ where intensity $I=$ detected photons $/\left(\sec \mathrm{cm}^{2}\right)$
$\sigma_{\phi}^{2}=\left[3.5 \theta_{b} \frac{d}{\lambda}\right]^{2} \frac{R^{2} n_{p i x}}{\left(I \times d^{2} \times T_{\mathrm{int}}\right)^{2}}+\mu\left(\frac{d}{r_{0}}\right)^{5 / 3}=\left[3.5 \theta_{b} \frac{1}{\lambda}\right]^{2} \frac{R^{2} n_{p i x}}{\left(I \times T_{\mathrm{int}}\right)^{2} d^{2}}+\mu\left(\frac{d}{r_{0}}\right)^{5 / 3}$
Set derivative of $\sigma_{\phi}^{2}$ with respect to subaperture size $d$ equal to zero:
$d_{o p t}=\left\{\frac{6}{5} \frac{r_{0}^{5 / 3}}{\mu}\left[\frac{3.5 \theta_{b}}{\lambda}\right]^{2} \frac{R^{2} n_{p i x}}{\left(I \times T_{\mathrm{int}}\right)^{2}}\right\}^{3 / 11}$
$d_{o p t}$ is larger if $r_{0}$, read noise, and $n_{p i x}$ are larger, and if $T_{\text {int }}$ and I are smaller

| LGS (10th mag TT star) Case | Wavefront Error (nm) |
| :--- | :---: |
| Atmospheric Fitting Error | 110 |
| Bandwidth Error | 146 |
| High-order Measurement Error | 150 |
| LGS Focal Anisoplanatism Error | 208 |
| Uncorrectable Static Telescope Abs | 66 |
| Dyn WFS Zero-point Calib Error | 80 |
| Residual Na Layer Focus Change | 36 |
| High-Order Aliasing Error | 37 |
| Uncorrectable AO System Aberrations | 30 |
| Uncorrectable Instrum Aberrations | 110 |
| Angular Anisoplanatism Error | 24 |
| Tilt Measurement Error (one-axis) | 11 |
| Tilt Bandwidth Error (one-axis) | 18 |
| Residual Tel Pointing Jitter (1-axis) | 96 |
|  |  |
|  | 370 |
| Total Wavefront Error (nm) = | 0.3 |
| Strehl at K-band = |  |
|  | 10 |
| Assumptions | 10 |
| Zenith angle (deg) | 500 |
| Guide star magnitude | 1000 |
| HO WFS Rate (Hz) | 16 |
| TT Rate (Hz) | CCD39 |
| Laser power (W) | 0.5625 |
| WFS camera | NIRC2 |
| Subaperture diameter (m) | 0.2 |
| Science Instrument | 2.41 |
| Amplitude of vibrations (arcsec) | STRAP APDs |
| d0 (m) |  |
| TT sensor |  |



## Keck 2 AO error budget example

(bright TT star)

## Summary: What can you optimize when?

- Once telescope is built on a particular site, you don't have control over $\tau_{0}, \theta_{0}, r_{0}$
- But when you build your AO system, you CAN optimize choice of subaperture size $d$, maximum AO system speed, range of observing wavelengths, sky coverage, etc.
- Even when you are observing with an existing AO system, you can optimize:
- wavelength of observations (changes fitting error)
- integration time of wavefront sensor $T_{\text {int }}$
- tip-tilt bandwidth
- brightness and angular offset of guide star

